

1

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 19 June 2018 13:38
To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>
Subject: AC this morning

Just a wee heads up...

It appears that we have an AC member, [REDACTED], who is a member of something called the Scottish Clinical Intervention Group. There was discussion around the Injectables/EduQual submission and [REDACTED], by all accounts, was initially of the opinion that we shouldn't be accrediting such qualifications unless for medical professionals but believing that the SCIG didn't think that there was a need for such quals.....given that we are dealing with medical professionals! (Does that make sense?)

Anyway, I had a chat with [REDACTED] and George and indicated that we believed the EduQual award would be aimed at professionals, which we consider a plus, but said that SCIG's position was not one shared by JCCP who believed that there was an equal need for quals/regulation with such learners.

Upshot is that George is contacting two identified individuals on the group to discuss its position and we may be in apposition to share information on the content of the qualification.

Other than that, [REDACTED] got the go ahead to share the MoU with Brodies. (Although I wonder if this will go on hold until SCIG feedback?)

All very interesting.....

[REDACTED]

2

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 June 2018 14:54
To: George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>
Subject: Conversation with [REDACTED] JCCP

George, [REDACTED]

As promised, a quick summary of my earlier conversation with [REDACTED]. If you recall, I tried to speak to him prior to the tele-KIT to determine JCCP's position on 'injectable' qualifications for medical professionals. (I'll get back to this later!)

1. Updated [REDACTED] on the MoU that he provided for information. I advised that, generally speaking, we considered it to be a workable document and that it was now with our legal advisors to see if they saw any issues with it. He was delighted with this development and awaits any further feedback. Still very keen to establish a formal working arrangement with SQA Accreditation.
2. I provided an update on what we thought Scot Gov's position is now likely to be following the tele-KIT. Again, the suggestion of govt support for accredited/regulated quals at SCQF Level 10 and above was welcomed and is in line with JCCP stance. [REDACTED] is very much up to

speed on the content of the EDuQual qualification and should it be accredited, will be actively promoting it/them down south as an alternative to the existing IQ qualification. (He is aware that EduQual is actively pursuing Ofqual recognition and has spoken about the application. I assume to offer support and seek a way of speeding things along.)

3. Broader discussion took place regarding the current IQ Injectables qual at Level 7. Although recognised by Ofqual, JCCP consider this to be a 'weak' qualification, based upon the old HEA(?) standard and doesn't have a sufficiently practical/clinical focus in terms of assessment. Said standard is now passed to the JCCP and have been updated to address the weaknesses. They have tried to negotiate with IQ around upgrading the qual but to no avail. (The qual remains live until May 2019). However, JCCP are so unhappy with the stance taken by IQ and concerned about the weakness of the qualification that they have raised the matter with Ofqual. The important thing for us, I guess, is that we hold off accrediting this qualification until it has been 'refreshed' against the revised standard....should IQ bring it forward of course.
4. Now on to the confidential points. █████ advised that the CPSA website, www.cosmeticstandards.org.uk, will shortly (by the end of the month) contain a competency framework which has been developed from the standard. They are keen that this underpins any qualification development in the area of injectables and complements the standard and supervisory framework which is already present. He stressed that he was sharing this info in a spirit of co-operation, knowing that Karen is working with EduQual on the accred submission. EduQual are unaware of this impending development and █████ is keen that we do not share the information until it is published. He agreed that it is likely to result in some tweaks/changes to the submission but is also of the opinion that as the EduQual qualification is based on the update standard, it should not result in a major piece of work for Jason and his team.
5. Second (really) confidential point. Again, provided in the spirit of co-operation but not for sharing on an official level. The Department of Health, having created a Cosmetics Regulation Team (CRT), are now considering a JCCP proposal to debar non-medical staff/individuals from undertaking qualifications at Level 6 and Level 7 in injectables. This was mooted a few years back but although Dept of Health was supportive, Treasury knocked it back as they saw the growth this sector as something of a financial bonus. However, █████ feels that the public health argument is now gaining sway and this is reflected in the existence of the CRT and the fact that they are willing to revisit this option.
6. Lastly, going back to the original point regarding the need for medical professionals to undertake these qualification, █████ reiterated that this is very much the JCCP stance. Evidence supported the fact that an assumption that being a medical practitioner confers the necessary skillset to deliver injectable to be flawed. Since we last spoke, he indicated that the GMC has accepted this line of thinking and is giving consideration to 'credentialing' for medical professionals seeking to operate in this area within the next couple of years. Some general blurb on credentialing here <https://www.gmc-uk.org/education/standards-guidance-and-curricula/projects/credentialing>

All in all, it was a useful conversation and reinforced █████ belief that we have a pretty robust qualification pending through EduQual. Also, that we appear to thinking along the same lines as the JCCP on how to 'manage' this sector. I advised that we would likely seek a meeting with JCCP no later than September.

Hope this helps.

3

From: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Sent: 30 May 2018 13:53
To: George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Cc: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Subject: Joint Council for Cosmetic Practitioners (JCCP) - Ofqual MoU

Colleagues

If you recall, back in March ACG asked [REDACTED] and I to try and establish some relevant sector regulatory links that might benefit us should EduQual AB submit an AC2 for the proposed Diploma in Injectables.

I had a conversation with [REDACTED] at the JCCP and he is keen to establish some kind of working relationship with us, as the Scottish regulator, that mirrors what is in place with Ofqual. He kindly provided a copy of the MoU for consideration.

A quick read of the document would suggest that Clause 11 onward would seem particularly pertinent, with the obvious need to amend references around Ofqual, Education Act, etc.

Do we think something like this could be workable for SQA Accreditation?

Would we need to run this past Brodies at this stage?

As things stand, we've indicated that we would likely seek a meeting in London with Paul around the time of the post-approval development visit to EduQual. However, some thoughts on the appropriateness of this timescale would be appreciated. For example, do colleagues feel we should be exploring options earlier than this given that Karen has now received a very early draft version of the qualification in question?

Cheers

4

From: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Sent: 04 December 2018 12:08
To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Subject: FW: JCCP Draft press Release

Hello Folks,

As you were on the working group and have been working closely with JCCP... please could you advise by return email if you are happy with the bit highlighted by George in yellow. It's currently sitting with Janet to approve the 'quote' that I have typed up.

Once I have your okeys, I can get it signed off by [REDACTED] and we can get it out there.

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

5

From: George Brown

Sent: 04 December 2018 11:57

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: JCCP Draft press Release

One wee minor change

Cheers

George

George Brown

Head of Accreditation

SQA Accreditation

6

From: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>

Sent: 04 December 2018 11:53

To: George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>

Subject: RE: JCCP Draft press Release

What about the attached 'quote'?

Ta

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

7

From: George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>

Sent: 04 December 2018 11:28

To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>

Subject: RE: JCCP Draft press Release

Please see attached. I think that the quote should come from Janet. Can you draft something and run it by me before sending to Janet

Cheers
George

George Brown
Head of Accreditation
SQA Accreditation

8

From: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>
Sent: 04 December 2018 11:20
To: George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>
Subject: FW: JCCP Draft press Release

Hi George,

Please find attached a proposed press release from JCCP – with my tracked changes (mainly reference to our name). I also changed the reference to intelligence (too Machiavellian sounding I think).

Can you review and see if there is anything further that you want to change... and are you able to provide the quote?

Once agreed I'll run it passed [REDACTED] before sharing back with [REDACTED].

Thanks

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

9

From: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>
Sent: 16 October 2018 11:44
To: Janet Brown <Janet.Brown@sqa.org.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>
Subject: FW: JCCP/SQA signed MoU

Good Morning Janet,

As you will know, we have been engaging with the Joint Council for Cosmetic Practitioners (JCCP) with regards to bringing about approval of Eduqual as an SQA Accreditation regulated Awarding

Body and accrediting their qualifications Certificate in Clinical Aesthetic Injectable Therapies (SCQF level 11) and Diploma in Clinical Aesthetic Injectable Therapies (SCQF level 11).

As a result we have worked with Brodies and the JCCP; in order to set out an MoU which will allow us to share information about the future of qualifications and regulatory requirements in this sector.

I am pleased to say that JCCP have returned the MoU signed. In order to finalise the agreement would you please be able to sign on behalf of SQA?

Finally, [REDACTED] from JCCP has asked if they are able to do a press release about the MoU once everything is concluded?

Can you let me know if you are happy with them to do this?

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

10

From: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>

Sent: 16 October 2018 11:48

To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>

Cc: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>

Subject: FW: JCCP/SQA signed MoU

Sorry [REDACTED],

I forgot to copy you in.
See below FYI.

Thanks

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

11

From: [REDACTED] On Behalf Of Janet Brown

Sent: 20 November 2018 10:04

To: [REDACTED]; Janet Brown

Cc: [REDACTED]; George Brown; [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: JCCP/SQA signed MoU

Hi [REDACTED],

Signed MoU.

Many thanks

██████████

12

From: ██████████@sqa.org.uk>

Sent: 20 November 2018 09:15

To: Janet Brown <Janet.Brown@sqa.org.uk>

Cc: ██████████@sqa.org.uk>; George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>; ██████████@sqa.org.uk>; ██████████@sqa.org.uk>

Subject: RE: JCCP/SQA signed MoU

Hi Janet,

No worries. Have you signed it or is George?

It's just so that I can supply ██████████ with the signed copy before I put Helen in touch with ██████████ regarding any press release.

Kind Regards

██████████

████████████████████

████████████████████

SQA Accreditation

13

From: Janet Brown <Janet.Brown@sqa.org.uk>

Sent: 19 November 2018 16:59

To: ██████████@sqa.org.uk>

Cc: ██████████@sqa.org.uk>; George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>; ██████████@sqa.org.uk>; ██████████@sqa.org.uk>

Subject: RE: JCCP/SQA signed MoU

██████████,

This had completely gone off my radar!

I would be happy for something to go out and have copied ██████████ into this email to coordinate with JCCP on this.

Sorry for the delay,

██████████

14

From: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Sent: 19 November 2018 11:23
To: Janet Brown <Janet.Brown@sqa.org.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Subject: RE: JCCP/SQA signed MoU

Hi Janet,

Any update on this at all?

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

15

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 October 2018 11:44
To: Janet Brown <Janet.Brown@sqa.org.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Subject: FW: JCCP/SQA signed MoU

Good Morning Janet,

As you will know, we have been engaging with the Joint Council for Cosmetic Practitioners (JCCP) with regards to bringing about approval of Eduqual as an SQA Accreditation regulated Awarding Body and accrediting their qualifications Certificate in Clinical Aesthetic Injectable Therapies (SCQF level 11) and Diploma in Clinical Aesthetic Injectable Therapies (SCQF level 11).

As a result we have worked with Brodies and the JCCP; in order to set out an MoU which will allow us to share information about the future of qualifications and regulatory requirements in this sector.

I am pleased to say that JCCP have returned the MoU signed. In order to finalise the agreement would you please be able to sign on behalf of SQA?

Finally, [REDACTED] from JCCP has asked if they are able to do a press release about the MoU once everything is concluded?

Can you let me know if you are happy with them to do this?

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

16

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 September 2018 11:23
To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Subject: FW: MOU - JCCP - Injectables Sector - LEG201

Hi [REDACTED]

I've had a read of the revised MOU and it seems, broadly speaking, to be fine. No issues with what is set out in Clause 4 as such. I had a look at it in comparison with the Ofqual MoU provided by [REDACTED] in the first instance and don't think there is too much variation to concern us.

Cheers

[REDACTED]

17

From: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Sent: 04 July 2018 15:48
To: Legal Services <legal.services@sqa.org.uk>
Cc: George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Subject: Joint Council for Cosmetic Practitioners (JCCP) - MoU

Hi there,

Please find attached a legal request for a review of the MoU attached with the possibility to amend to suit SQA Accreditation needs.

Can you please let me know if you need anything else at this stage?

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

18

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 30 May 2018 13:53
To: George Brown ; [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Joint Council for Cosmetic Practitioners (JCCP) - Ofqual MoU

Colleagues

If you recall, back in March ACG asked [REDACTED] and I to try and establish some relevant sector regulatory links that might benefit us should EduQual AB submit an AC2 for the proposed Diploma in Injectables.

I had a conversation with [REDACTED] at the JCCP and he is keen to establish some kind of working relationship with us, as the Scottish regulator, that mirrors what is in place with Ofqual. He kindly provided a copy of the MoU for consideration.

A quick read of the document would suggest that Clause 11 onward would seem particularly pertinent, with the obvious need to amend references around Ofqual, Education Act, etc.

Do we think something like this could be workable for SQA Accreditation?

Would we need to run this past Brodies at this stage?

As things stand, we've indicated that we would likely seek a meeting in London with [REDACTED] around the time of the post-approval development visit to EduQual. However, some thoughts on the appropriateness of this timescale would be appreciated. For example, do colleagues feel we should be exploring options earlier than this given that [REDACTED] has now received a very early draft version of the qualification in question?

Cheers

[REDACTED]

19

From: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>

Sent: 19 June 2018 13:58

To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>

Subject: RE: AC this morning

Hi [REDACTED]

Yes, very interesting!

I thought we could accredit any qualification except for degrees. Didn't realise we were going to introduce criteria/put restrictions on the types or subject area of qualifications we could accredit??

These qualifications are aimed a professionals – but medical professionals that are operating out with their specialism! That is the whole point to upskill these professionals in these new technologies/clinical/medical procedures. As far as I am aware the Royal College of Surgeons appears to think there is a need (according to Equal).

Well I hope George is armed with all the facts before he contacts these people.

Equal have sent me their unit specs and credit rating forms and I have provided some feedback. I am expecting the AC2 submission soon.

Oh well here we go again!

Thanks for the heads up.

██████████

PS. Have you heard anything from ██████████ at ██████████? It's been almost 3 weeks since I sent the feedback and I have not heard a thing!

██████████
██████████
Accreditation

20

From: ██████████@sqa.org.uk>

Sent: 20 June 2018 10:46

To: ██████████@sqa.org.uk>

Subject: RE: Aesthetics

Hi ██████████

I know it makes me sick to think that this is going to put a major spanner in the works! Especially as you have been in contact with HIS & JCCP and no issues were raised. Then we discover some other group are looking at this – why didn't HIS mention this or did they not know about them either?

We really can't be seen to be making up different rules as we go along! Eduqual are so far down the road with this and if we then tell them that we can't approve – then that could be more of a reputational risk than accrediting the qualification!

I really think you need to be involved in any discussions (we need a balanced view and to put up a good argument for regulating these types of qualifications) – hope you don't mind me suggesting you should be involved.

Cheers

██████████

██████████
██████████

21

From: ██████████

Sent: 20 June 2018 10:30

To: ██████████

Subject: RE: Aesthetics

Good morning ██████████

My goodness, you are good at this stuff!

I think it's a really good article as background reading. I'll let you send it on and take the glory!

I did mention to [REDACTED]/George about the discussion with HIS and the fact that they seemed a bit 'meh' about the whole thing. I was thinking about the whole thing last night and just have a horrible feeling that the whole thing is going to be stopped in its tracks because of possible feedback from SCIG.

Cheers

[REDACTED]

22

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 19 June 2018 16:13
To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>
Subject: Aesthetics

Hi [REDACTED]

See link for interesting article. I have only sent it to you at the moment. Wanted you to have a look at it first and if you think it might be helpful then feel free to share it with George and [REDACTED]. Did you mention to them that you had been in touch with HIS regarding our role, aesthetic quals and the possibility of a MoU (they didn't seem to raise any concerns).

<https://aestheticsjournal.com/feature/his-registration-launch>

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Accreditation

23

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 December 2018 12:20
To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>
Subject: RE: JCCP Draft press Release

Hi [REDACTED]

Not for me to comment I feel. George has directed the question to [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

Cheers

[REDACTED]

24

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 December 2018 12:08

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: FW: JCCP Draft press Release

Hello Folks,

As you were on the working group and have been working closely with JCCP... please could you advise by return email if you are happy with the bit highlighted by George in yellow.

It's currently sitting with Janet to approve the 'quote' that I have typed up.

Once I have your okeys, I can get it signed off by [REDACTED] and we can get it out there.

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

25

From: George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>

Sent: 04 December 2018 11:57

To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>

Subject: RE: JCCP Draft press Release

One wee minor change

Cheers

George

George Brown

Head of Accreditation

26

From: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>

Sent: 04 December 2018 11:53

To: George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>

Subject: RE: JCCP Draft press Release

What about the attached 'quote'?

Ta

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

27

From: George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>
Sent: 04 December 2018 11:28
To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Subject: RE: JCCP Draft press Release

Please see attached. I think that the quote should come from Janet. Can you draft something and run it by me before sending to Janet

Cheers
George

George Brown
Head of Accreditation
SQA Accreditation

28

From: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Sent: 04 December 2018 11:20
To: George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>
Subject: FW: JCCP Draft press Release

Hi George,

Please find attached a proposed press release from JCCP – with my tracked changes (mainly reference to our name). I also changed the reference to intelligence (too Machiavellian sounding I think).

Can you review and see if there is anything further that you want to change... and are you able to provide the quote?

Once agreed I'll run it passed [REDACTED] before sharing back with [REDACTED].

Thanks

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

29

From: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk **On Behalf Of** Janet Brown
Sent: 20 November 2018 10:04
To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk; Janet Brown <Janet.Brown@sqa.org.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk; George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Subject: RE: JCCP/SQA signed MoU

Hi [REDACTED],

Signed MoU.

Many thanks

[REDACTED]

30

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 November 2018 09:15
To: Janet Brown
Cc: [REDACTED]; George Brown; [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: JCCP/SQA signed MoU

Hi Janet,

No worries. Have you signed it or is George?

It's just so that I can supply [REDACTED] with the signed copy before I put Helen in touch with [REDACTED] regarding any press release.

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

31

From: Janet Brown <Janet.Brown@sqa.org.uk>
Sent: 19 November 2018 16:59
To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Cc: John Byrne <John.Byrne@sqa.org.uk>; George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Subject: RE: JCCP/SQA signed MoU

[REDACTED],

This had completely gone off my radar!

I would be happy for something to go out and have copied [REDACTED] into this email to coordinate with JCCP on this.

Sorry for the delay,

Janet

32

From: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>
Sent: 19 November 2018 11:23
To: Janet Brown <Janet.Brown@sqa.org.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>
Subject: RE: JCCP/SQA signed MoU

Hi Janet,

Any update on this at all?

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

33

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 October 2018 11:44
To: Janet Brown <Janet.Brown@sqa.org.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>
Subject: FW: JCCP/SQA signed MoU

Good Morning Janet,

As you will know, we have been engaging with the Joint Council for Cosmetic Practitioners (JCCP) with regards to bringing about approval of Eduqual as an SQA Accreditation regulated Awarding Body and accrediting their qualifications Certificate in Clinical Aesthetic Injectable Therapies (SCQF level 11) and Diploma in Clinical Aesthetic Injectable Therapies (SCQF level 11).

As a result we have worked with Brodies and the JCCP; in order to set out an MoU which will allow us to share information about the future of qualifications and regulatory requirements in this sector.

I am pleased to say that JCCP have returned the MoU signed. In order to finalise the agreement would you please be able to sign on behalf of SQA?

Finally, [REDACTED] from JCCP has asked if they are able to do a press release about the MoU once everything is concluded?

Can you let me know if you are happy with them to do this?

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

34

From: Legal Services <legal.services@sqa.org.uk>

Sent: 05 July 2018 11:47

To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk; Legal Services <legal.services@sqa.org.uk>

Cc: George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>; John Byrne <John.Byrne@sqa.org.uk>; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk

Subject: RE: Joint Council for Cosmetic Practitioners (JCCP) - MoU

Hi [REDACTED],

Thanks for your email.

I have checked our approval log and you do not appear on it.

Please could you ask your HOS for approval and then we can process the request.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

35

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 04 July 2018 15:48

To: Legal Services

Cc: George Brown ; [REDACTED]

Subject: Joint Council for Cosmetic Practitioners (JCCP) - MoU

Hi there,

Please find attached a legal request for a review of the MoU attached with the possibility to amend to suit SQA Accreditation needs.

Can you please let me know if you need anything else at this stage?

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

36

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 30 May 2018 13:53

To: George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>; [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk

Cc: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk

Subject: Joint Council for Cosmetic Practitioners (JCCP) - Ofqual MoU

Colleagues

If you recall, back in March ACG asked [REDACTED] and I to try and establish some relevant sector regulatory links that might benefit us should EduQual AB submit an AC2 for the proposed Diploma in Injectables.

I had a conversation with [REDACTED] at the JCCP and he is keen to establish some kind of working relationship with us, as the Scottish regulator, that mirrors what is in place with Ofqual. He kindly provided a copy of the MoU for consideration.

A quick read of the document would suggest that Clause 11 onward would seem particularly pertinent, with the obvious need to amend references around Ofqual, Education Act, etc.

Do we think something like this could be workable for SQA Accreditation?

Would we need to run this past Brodies at this stage?

As things stand, we've indicated that we would likely seek a meeting in London with Paul around the time of the post-approval development visit to EduQual. However, some thoughts on the appropriateness of this timescale would be appreciated. For example, do colleagues feel we should be exploring options earlier than this given that Karen has now received a very early draft version of the qualification in question?

Cheers

[REDACTED]

37

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 19 June 2018 09:43

To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk; George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk

Cc: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk

Subject: RE: Joint Council for Cosmetic Practitioners (JCCP) - Ofqual MoU

[REDACTED]

No definite date as yet. However, ACG set an outline timescale of September for the meeting. EduQual are aware of this and I've spoken to [REDACTED] at JCCP that we may want to have a meeting with them also given the fact that we will be in London.

However, worth noting that [REDACTED] is working on a draft of the 'Injectables' qualification spec and may be of a mind to meet EduQual sooner than September.

Hope that helps.

[REDACTED]

38

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 19 June 2018 09:28

To: [REDACTED] ; George Brown ; [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Joint Council for Cosmetic Practitioners (JCCP) - Ofqual MoU

Hi [REDACTED],

I'm all for being best prepared.

The MoU looks to be workable and I believe would be something that we could replicate.

When is the post approval visit with Eduqual scheduled for?

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

39

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 July 2018 15:48
To: Legal Services
Cc: George Brown ; [REDACTED]
Subject: Joint Council for Cosmetic Practitioners (JCCP) - MoU

Hi there,

Please find attached a legal request for a review of the MoU attached with the possibility to amend to suit SQA Accreditation needs.

Can you please let me know if you need anything else at this stage?

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SQA Accreditation

40

From: George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>
Sent: 27 January 2021 15:53
To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Cc: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk
Subject: RE: Query from Industry Qualifications: Certificate in Injectables for Aesthetic Medicine

Best people to speak with are [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], as they have been involved in the SG working group. Ideally, they need to be at the meeting on Monday. I have copied both Karen and John into my email

Cheers
George

George Brown
Head of Accreditation
SQA Accreditation

41

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 27 January 2021 15:49
To: George Brown
Subject: FW: Query from Industry Qualifications: Certificate in Injectables for Aesthetic Medicine

Hi George
Hope you are well.
This has cropped up again and SQA have been asked to attend a meeting with Scot Gov on Monday to discuss.
They have carried out their research but not published yet.
After discussion with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] I'm now not sure who they should be speaking to.
Any thoughts?
Thanks [REDACTED]

42

From: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>
Sent: 27 January 2021 15:46
To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>
Subject: FW: Query from Industry Qualifications: Certificate in Injectables for Aesthetic Medicine

Email from George

[REDACTED]

43

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 August 2018 08:25
To: George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>
Subject: RE: Query from Industry Qualifications: Certificate in Injectables for Aesthetic Medicine

Thank you George that is really helpful. [REDACTED] is now on annual leave for two weeks but I will let him know that this is not something he needs to progress from an awarding sales perspective.

Thanks again

[REDACTED]

44

From: George Brown

Sent: 17 August 2018 07:53

To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>

Subject: FW: Query from Industry Qualifications: Certificate in Injectables for Aesthetic Medicine

Hi [REDACTED]

It is the accreditation side that is looking to sign an MOU with the JCCP.

We have an awarding body, EduQual, which has developed a qualification with regards to injectables (which we accredited yesterday) and we have been in discussion for some time with JCCP re the whole aesthetic sector. We have also been in dialogue with the Scottish Government. It is considering bringing in legislation around this sector. It is content that we are in dialogue with the JCCP and is likely to make it a mandatory requirement that non-clinical individuals carrying out work in this sector undertake a qualification which meets the JCCP standards and that the qualification must be accredited by us and must be at least at SCQF level 10.

Industry Qualifications are also approved by us as an awarding body. They currently have an injectable qualification but we have been advised by the JCCP that it does not meet the current standards.

Hope this helps and happy to discuss further if required

Cheers

George

George Brown

Head of Accreditation

SQA Accreditation

45

From: Janet Brown

Sent: 01 August 2018 19:15

To: Gill Stewart <Gill.Stewart@sqa.org.uk>; Jean Blair <Jean.Blair@sqa.org.uk>; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>

Cc: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>; George Brown <George.Brown@sqa.org.uk>

Subject: Re: Query from Industry Qualifications: Certificate in Injectables for Aesthetic Medicine

Think this is related to accreditation. Cc'd George

46

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday 1 August, 19:08

Subject: FW: Query from Industry Qualifications: Certificate in Injectables for Aesthetic Medicine

To: Gill Stewart, Jean Blair, Janet Brown

Cc: [REDACTED]

Sorry to inundate your inbox, but this has been passed to me – See highlighted text at the bottom of the email trail - I'm not aware of anything but are you ? Or have you heard this mentioned anywhere?

Thanks, [REDACTED]

----- Original message -----

47

From: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>

Date: 31/07/2018 20:32 (GMT+00:00)

To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>

Subject: RE: Query from Industry Qualifications: Certificate in Injectables for Aesthetic Medicine

Hi [REDACTED]

Just spotted the name. In case it helps, we had some dealings with [REDACTED] in March of this year, and [REDACTED] was also involved. He came to us seeking info re the credit rating process. I sent him a fairly detailed answer culled from info that was already in the public domain. He was very grateful at the time but haven't heard from him since.

Best wishes

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

48

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 31 July 2018 16:27

To: [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>; RM_ROUK <RM_ROUK@sqa.org.uk>

Cc: Customer <customer@sqa.org.uk>; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>

Subject: RE: Query from Industry Qualifications: Certificate in Injectables for Aesthetic Medicine

Hi [REDACTED]

I am not aware of any such conversations or agreements at all, sorry. I would be interested to know more when you get to the bottom of it

Thanks

[REDACTED]

49

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: 31 July 2018 16:21

To: RM_ROUK <RM_ROUK@sqa.org.uk>

Cc: Customer <customer@sqa.org.uk>; [REDACTED]@sqa.org.uk>

Subject: Query from Industry Qualifications: Certificate in Injectables for Aesthetic Medicine

Colleagues

I have just received a telephone call from a [REDACTED] from Industry Qualifications (based in Bromsgrove) <http://www.industryqualifications.org.uk/> in relation to the above, which he advised is soon to be endorsed by the Joint Council for Cosmetic Practitioners (JCCP) <https://www.jccp.org.uk/> . He had been given my name as the QM for Beauty etc.

He had heard that SQA are going to be signing and MoU with JCCP re this product and would like to talk to someone about the potential of Industry Qualifications and SQA working together directly on this.

Are any of you dealing with this, and if you are would you be able to get in touch with me, please?

Thanks

[REDACTED]
